

ब्यावसायिक परीक्षण रिपोर्ट
COMMERCIAL TEST REPORT

संख्या/ No.: ROTAVATOR-282/2521/2020
माह/Month: September, 2020

THIS TEST REPORT VALID UP TO : 30th SEPTEMBER, 2027



**SONALIKA, SLPMSJTR-5.5 ROTAVATOR
(TRACTOR MOUNTED)**



भारत सरकार

Government of India

कृषि एवं किसान कल्याण मंत्रालय

Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare

कृषि, सहकारिता एवं किसान कल्याण विभाग

Department of Agriculture, Cooperation and Farmers Welfare

उत्तरी क्षेत्र कृषि मशीनरी प्रशिक्षण एवं परीक्षण संस्थान

Northern Region Farm Machinery Training and Testing Institute

ट्रैक्टर नगर, सिरसा रोड, हिसार, (हरियाणा) - 125 001

Tractor Nagar, Sirsa Road, HISAR (Haryana)-125 001

[ISO 9001:2015 CERTIFIED]

Website: <http://nrfmtti.gov.in/>

E-mail: fmti-nr@nic.in

Tele./FAX: 01662-276984

7. FIELD PERFORMANCE TEST

The field tests of the rotavator comprising of dry land & wet land operation were conducted for 27 and 13 hours respectively to assess the performance of the rotavator. The performance of rotavator is reported in **Annexure-I** for dry land & **Annexure-II** for wet land operations respectively. Observations of field performance test are summarized in the ensuing table:

Summary of Field Performance Test

Sl. No.	Parameters/operations	Dry land operation	Wet land operation (Puddling)
I	II	III	IV
1.	Tractor used	International tractor Ltd. DI 745III	
2.	Gear used	L-2	L-1
3.	Type of soil	Sandy loam	
4.	Average soil moisture (%)	8.1 to 21.4	
5.	Average depth of standing water (cm)	--	12.22 to 12.78
6.	Bulk density of soil (g/cc)	1.59 to 1.67	--
7.	Average speed of operation (kmph)	3.37 to 3.51	2.26 to 2.29
8.	Avg. travel reduction (%)	-5.05 to -1.19	--
9.	Avg. wheel slip (%)	--	-0.51 to 0.16
10.	Average depth of puddle (cm)	--	18.0 to 20.33
11.	Average depth of cut (cm)	7.4 to 8.4	--
12.	Avg. effective width (cm)	158 to 164	--
13.	Area covered (ha/h)	0.423 to 0.466	--
14.	Time required for one ha (h)	2.15 to 2.34	--
15.	Field efficiency (%)	77 to 86	--
16.	Puddling index (%)	--	79 to 82
17.	Fuel consumption	l/h	5.70 to 6.25
		l/ha	12.66 to 14.25
			--
18.	Average PTO power utilized (kW)		

7.1 Dry land operation

7.1.1 Rate of work

- i) The rate of work was recorded as 0.428 to 0.466 ha/h, and the speed of operation varies from 3.37 to 3.51 kmph.
- ii) The time required to cover one hectare was recorded as 2.15 to 2.34 h

7.1.2 Quality of work

- i) The depth of operation was recorded as 7.4 to 8.4 cm.
- ii) Average working width was observed as 158 to 164 cm.
- iii) Field efficiency was observed as 77 to 86 %.



7.2 Wet Land operation

7.2.1 The tractor was fitted with half cage wheel on rear pneumatic traction wheel for conducting the puddling operation. The brief specification of half cage wheel is given in Annexure-II

7.2.2 Quality of work

- i) The depth of puddle was recorded as 18.0 to 20.3 cm.
- ii) The puddling index was recorded as 79 to 82 %.

7.3 Labour requirement

In all, two skilled operators are needed to ensure continuous operation of rotavator for day long period.

7.4 Wear analysis (on mass basis)

Wear of hatchet blades (on mass basis) was measured and recorded in ensuing table:

Percentage wear of rotavator blades on mass basis

Sl. No.	Initial mass of blade (g)	Mass of blade after 40.09 hr. of operation (g)	Difference of weight (g)	Percentage of wear (%) after 40.09 hr.	Percentage of wear on hour basis (%)
1.	523.00	507.40	15.60	2.98	0.07
2.	513.90	500.60	13.30	2.59	0.06
3.	520.90	507.30	13.60	2.61	0.07
4.	522.40	504.10	18.30	3.50	0.09
5.	513.40	498.30	15.10	2.94	0.07
6.	524.90	502.80	22.10	4.21	0.11
7.	523.90	507.00	16.90	3.23	0.08
8.	514.10	513.40	0.70	0.14	0.00
9.	526.80	514.00	12.80	2.43	0.06
10.	482.70	465.60	17.10	3.54	0.09

8. EFFECTIVENESS OF SEALINGS

After completion of wet land operation for 13 hours, the rotavator was dismantled for checking the effectiveness of sealing provided against ingress of dust, and water/mud in various sub-assemblies/components. The observations are given in ensuing table:-

Sl. No.	Location	Whether ingress of mud and/or water was observed (Yes/No)
1.	Primary reduction gear box	No
2.	Secondary reduction gear box	No
3.	Rotor assembly (hub)	No

9. EASE OF OPERATION & ADJUSTMENTS

No noticeable difficulty was observed during the operation and adjustment of rotavator.

10. DEFECTS, BREAKDOWN AND REPAIRS

No noticeable defect or breakdown was observed during the test.

11. CRITICAL TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

Deferred till 31.12.2020 vide Ministry O.M. No 13-13/2020 M&T, (I&P) dated 24.04.2020

12. COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS



- 12.1** The Dimension of three point linkage of implement does not conform, in toto, to the requirements of IS: 4468(Part-2)-1997 and therefore, it may be looked into for corrective action.
- 12.2** The Dimensions of PIC of implement does not conform, in toto, to the requirements of IS: 4931-1995 and therefore, it may be looked into for corrective action.
- 12.3** The chemical composition of blades does not conform, in toto, to the requirements of IS: 6690-1981. This needs to be looked into for corrective action.
- 12.4** The hardness of blades does not conform, to the requirements of IS: 6690-1981. This needs to be looked into for corrective action
- 12.5** The Model of the tractor is given as "DI 745 III" In the labeling plate of the tractor, whereas it is "Sonalika International DI-745 III power plus" in the Budni test report No. T-1143/1669/2018. **This is again a serious discrepancy** which needs for immediate corrective action.
- 12.6 Technical literature:-**
One booklet entitled "Service, Operating & Maintenance Manual cum Spare parts Catalogue with Warranty Card" was provided for reference during test. The same, however, needs to be updated as per IS-8132-1999.



ROTAVATOR-282/2521/2020

SONALIKA, SLPMSJTR-5.5 ROTAVATOR
(TRACTOR MOUNTED) (COMMERCIAL)

TESTING AUTHORITY

ANSHUL PANDEY AGRUCULTURAL ENGINEER (I)	
P. K. PANDEY DIRECTOR	

13. APPLICANT'S COMMENTS

Para No.	Our reference	Applicant's Comments
12.1	4.4	We will take care the same in our regular production/vendor end.
12.2	4.5	The dimension of PIC of implement will be improve at vendor/production end as per IS:4931:1995.
12.3	6.2	The chemical composition of blades will be improve at vendor/production end as per IS:6690-1981
12.4	6.1	The hardness of blades will be improve at vendor/production end as per IS: 6690-1981.
12.6	12.6	Technical literature will be updated as per IS:8132-1999.

